

saving money. To assist this, sponsor organizations will provide participating individuals and families intensive financial counseling and counseling to develop investment plans for education, home ownership, and entrepreneurship.

In addition, participating welfare and low-income families build assets whose high return on investment propels them into independence and stability. The community will also benefit from the significant return on an investment in IDA's: We can expect welfare rolls to be reduced; tax receipts to increase; employment to increase; and local enterprises and builders can expect increased business activity. Neighborhoods will be rejuvenated as new microenterprises and increased home renovation and building drive increased employment and community development.

In fact, it is estimated that an investment of \$100 million in asset building through these individual accounts would generate 7,050 new businesses, 68,799 new job years, \$730 million in additional earnings, 12,000 new or rehabilitated homes, \$287 million in savings and matching contributions and earnings on those accounts, \$188 million in increased assets for low-income families, 6,600 families removed from welfare rolls, 12,000 youth graduates from vocational education and college programs, 20,000 adults obtaining high school, vocational, and college degrees.

Source: Corporation for Enterprise Development, "The Return of the Dream: An Analysis of the Probable Economic Return on a National Investment in Individual Development Accounts," May 1995.

IDA's are planned or now available on a small scale across the country, including Indiana, Illinois, Virginia, Oregon, and Iowa. The assets for independence amendment has been developed after a review of numerous, similar, successful programs, and most notably one run by the Eastside Community Investments community development corporation in Indianapolis, IN. The amendment incorporates a number of protections developed with their assistance and based on their experience. For example, accounts will be held in a trust. In addition, sponsor organizations must cosign any withdrawal of funds; withdrawals are strictly limited to home purchase, education, and microenterprise.

I challenge this Congress to consider the \$5.4 trillion we have spent on welfare programs in the past 30 years. Have these programs that focus on income maintenance been successful? Do we honestly believe that we can give money to low-income citizens and have them spend their way out of poverty? Or is it time to consider a new approach, not just an approach that focuses on a Federal bureaucracy or even a substituted State bureaucracy, but an approach that empowers families and communities directly to build assets with high returns on investment—

returns whose economic and personal growth approaches the exponential?

The assets for independence amendment does just this. It does not concentrate on Government programs but focuses on community efforts to put high-return assets in the hands of families. I am very pleased that we have included it in this vital legislation.

#### COATS-WYDEN KINSHIP CARE AMENDMENT

Mr. WYDEN. Mr. President, I rise in support of the Coats-Wyden kinship care amendment, which was agreed to by the Senate last night. I would like to thank my colleague, Senator COATS, for his assistance with this important amendment.

Grandparents caring for grandchildren represent an underappreciated natural resource in our Nation. They hold tremendous potential for curing one of our society's most pressing maladies: The care of children who have no parents, or whose parents simply aren't up to the task of providing children a stable, secure, and nurturing living environment.

There is such a great reservoir of love and experience available to us, and more especially to the tens of thousands of American children who desperately need basic care giving. We provide public assistance to strangers for this kind of care, but the folks available to provide foster care homes are in short supply.

It is time that States and the Federal Government begin to promote policies that open doors to relatives who are ready, willing and able to care for these children. Some States have already been moving in this direction for over a decade. Over the past 10 years the number of children involved in extended family arrangements has increased by 40 percent. Currently, more than 3 million children are being raised by their grandparents. In other words, 5 percent of all families in this country are headed by grandparents.

However, in many places States still lack a system that includes relatives in the decisionmaking process when children are removed from the home. I have heard case after case of relatives who never heard from the child protection agency when a grandchild or other related child was removed from the home. Once the child was taken, extended family members had no contact and no way of finding out what then happened to the children. Sometimes brothers and sisters have been separated and a grandparent has spent years in court trying to reunite their family.

I have repeatedly heard the frustration of grandparents whose grandchildren, as far as they knew, disappeared in the night, and once the children entered the State child protection system they literally disappeared from their families' lives.

The amendment that we proposed, similar to one that was adopted by the House last spring, and to language that has been in almost every welfare bill since then, would give relatives pref-

erence over stranger caregivers when the State determines where to place a child who has been removed from the home. It's time we start developing policies that make it easier, instead of more difficult, for families to come together to raise their children.

As we rethink our child protection system, we need to rededicate ourselves to looking to families, including extended families, for solutions. When a child is separated from their parents, it is usually a painful and traumatic experience. Living with people that a child knows and trusts gives children a better chance in the world and gives families a better chance to rebuild themselves.

Again, I thank my colleague from across the aisle, Senator COATS, for his help with this amendment.

Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. BINGAMAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that we go into morning business with Senators allowed to speak for up to 5 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### THE FEDERAL OIL AND GAS ROYALTY SIMPLIFICATION AND FAIRNESS ACT OF 1996

Mr. BINGAMAN. After extensive negotiations over the past year with the Department of the Interior, the affected States, and the industry, the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Fairness Act is now before the Senate for final passage. This bipartisan reform of the Federal Royalty Program is identical to the version passed by the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee in May.

The Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Fairness Act will result in a simpler, fairer and more cost effective way to administer oil and gas royalty collections on Federal lands. This is important legislation for the independent producers in New Mexico and for independent producers throughout the Nation.

The bill, H.R. 1975, amends the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act of 1982 with respect to royalty collections on Federal lands and the Outer Continental Shelf. It does not apply to Indian lands.

The bill establishes a statute of limitations to ensure royalty audits and collections are final within 7 years from the date of production; establishes reciprocity with respect to payment of interest on royalty overpayments and underpayments; simplifies